



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,819	07/24/2001	John T. Micco	04899-046001	04899-046001 6291	
7590 04/05/2006		EXAMINER			
Kevin J. Canning, Esq.			VU, TUAN A		
Lahive & Cockf	ield, LL.P				
28 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02109			2193		
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/911,819	MICCO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Tuan A. Vu	2193	

interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan A. Vu	2193					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Tuan A. Vu</u> .	(3)Kevin Canning.						
(2) <u>Jim McKenzie</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 03 April 2006.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)[☐ applicant's representative	:]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and representative have summarized the effect of obviating resources when the invention is claiming using a description file processing step in order to translate a procedure/function call in a target language w/o the otherwise exhaustive effort to retrieve libraries of a given signature description of a function given from another input language. The examiner has directed attention to Applicant that using such a description file reads on a common interface language known in the art, and that the claimed invention needs to be elaborating on the how's of this processing step, and doing so with heedful consideration about what interface language such as IDL, or XML-- or similar type of language neutral specification entail in known methodologies to translate source to target programming construct; notably when the understanding is that the translation is about how to create a call not just a function instance. Also put forth in the discussion are the steps taken by the Office to go about analyzing whether a claim satisfies the non-statutory requirements of USC 101, to yield a concrete tangible result based on an action to transform data; and both Applicant and representative have agree to start the amendement based thereupon.